

Contents

Opening Posts / Questions.....	2
Click here for more posts	7
Answers to objections.....	8
The Bible can't change because God preserves His word	8
The devil's not that powerful.....	10
God cannot lie therefore the Bible can't change.....	11
God Inspired the word therefore it can't change	11
God Doesn't Change therefore the word can't change	12
The Word Can't Change Because God Can't Lie	12
We've already looked at that and judged it as heresy.....	12
You only want the answer that you want	12
I already answered your question.....	13
I only base my beliefs on the word, not statistics.....	13
What difference does it make if they are misremembering.....	14
God would never allow this to happen.....	14
They are confused because of versions modernizations, variants or misprints.....	15
They are confused because of misquotes from pop culture	16
Your data can't be trusted / How do I know its accurate	18
Human memory is unreliable.....	19
Pastors don't read their Bibles anymore	20
Cavalier flippant, and dismissive answer EXAMPLE "Maybe they were drunk"	20
Government psyop, photoshopped tricks	20
Delusion	20
The independent studies your citing don't apply to the pastors.....	21
More posts	22
What is the Mandela effect and what are the supernatural Bible changes	24
List of biblical paradoxes	26

Explaining the survey	29
Transition to survey.....	29
If they say “I don’t know why they all misremembered the same way”	30
A list of all the evidence that the Bible is changing	32

Facebook Group / Opening Posts / Questions

My experience with Facebook groups is that the moderators will never let you past your first post if they know that you’re suggesting that the Bible is supernaturally changing. They don’t want people that are bringing a debate and they definitely don’t want someone that’s suggesting that the Bible is supernaturally changing. So it’s not going to matter what you say, you won’t get past 1st base in most cases if you come out swinging.

It will be different in other forums, but with most Facebook groups on theology or KJV only etc, you are going to have to get past the moderator 1st and then transition to talking about Bible changes once you’re in.

What I suggest is that you post 2 Biblical paradoxes and ask the group “These seem like blaspheme, why are these in the KJV Bible.” Just let them all wrangle about why it’s supposed to be there for a while. You can either repeat that a few times or transition to the question right away. Once you have a string going you can drop the evidence of unified misremembering among the pastors and suggest that maybe this is the reason for the paradoxes you posted. The moderators may ban you the moment they see what you’re suggesting but they may not. They will definitely ban you if you start with that. I suggest that you only use KJV paradoxes because a lot of people will rely on the explanation of bad translations to explain the paradoxes. If you are only posting KJV only changes they can’t do that. Also they will ignore your question but they will ban you for not answering their question, so here’s what I suggest.

Ask the pastor survey question. When they dodge the question and ask you a question, say well you didn’t answer my question but if I answer your question will you answer my question? If they just dodge the question just go to the appropriate link to see how to respond.

NOTE Posting URL links will get you banned on Facebook Groups

[CLICK HERE for list of biblical paradoxes](#)

Sample posts after posting paradoxes

- I saw this national pastor Bible quiz survey where 28 pastors all misremembered 12 different passages the same way. They are suggesting the Bible is supernaturally changing and they say the proof is that the pastors are all misremembering familiar Bible passages the exact same way at 90%. Maybe that's why those passages I posted are in the Bible. And if it isn't changing like they say, then why did 28 out of 30 pastors all misremember Job 1:21 "The Lord Giveth and the Lord taketh away." They all chose "the Lord giveth and the Lord taketh away" but the KJV Bible has never said that. It's always said "The Lord gave and the Lord hath taken away" How could 28 out of 30 pastors all misremember such a familiar verse the exact same way?

Opening Posts / Questions / General

- I am a dedicated born-again believer of _____ years. I'm curious to know why most Christians display such an unchristlike contempt for devout believers that are suggesting that the Bible is supernaturally changing when there is so much evidence to support that it is? We understand this challenges the doctrine of preservation but there renowned theologians writing books that this is happening. Is it your position that your understanding of the doctrine of preservation is infallible? If not, then why wouldn't you be willing to discuss this right now
- If you're so confident in your position, then why won't you try to help me?
- The response that I expect to my question is to be told the "Bible can't change and that's final" but that response is not answering my question. I understand you believe the Bible can't change, but it would appear from the evidence we have that God disagrees with you. So are you willing to discuss the objective evidence and the theological evidence that now exists that the Bible is in fact supernaturally changing?
- There has been an ungodly silence embargo taking place for 9 years now on this topic. We are called heretics and deceivers and we are nothing of the kind. Are the moderators going to block this discussion like everyone else or can I ask an honest question on this topic?
 - (I CHECKED HIS PROFILE AND HES JUST DOING THIS TO SELL HIS BOOKS) The ministry that ran the Pastor survey doesn't sell anything and gives their books away for free so please don't insinuate that we are posting here to increase views to generate book sales or advertising revenue.

- You may be willing to take my question but typically the moderators will ban any posts on this topic as soon as they realize what we're talking about. I am not a trouble maker; I am a devout born-again believer of 43 years. I've been in the ministry all of that time. I have verified evidence that what I am saying is true, so will the moderators allow this conversation to continue?
- If we now have objective evidence and peer reviewed studies verifying our claims, are you willing to have me post them without banning me to stop this discussion from taking place?
- If we have observable, repeatable measurable evidence of unified misremembering among pastors from a national pastor survey are you willing to discuss the results here or will you choose to simply brand us as heretics and ban us from your forum?
- To avoid a lot of back and forth and misunderstanding, I have an 850 word post (only 2 pages) of text that lays out the objective evidence, can I post that here? If yes, I will post that and await anyone's responses.
- Why are so many Christians unwilling to discuss the supernatural bible changes. Why are people asking honest questions on this topic always getting banned for bringing it up?
- There's millions of Christians claiming this, why won't content creators, church leaders or pastors talk about it. What is everyone afraid of?
- If there was a way for the supernatural bible changes to be happening without there being a controversy with God, would you be willing to let me show you?
- Are you suggesting that your understanding of the doctrine of preservation is infallible? Then why won't you at least discuss the supernatural Bible changes?
- There's over 45,000 christian denominations in the world, so it seems we can't agree on much of anything. If you would agree that it would be arrogant to state that your understanding of preservation is the only one that is correct, then why wouldn't you at least be willing to discuss it

- Did you know that there are a large numbers of orthodox, conservative believers that hold that the scriptures do not teach preservation of the text. I think that takes it out of the heresy category.
- We know that denominations have contacted their leaders and told them not to talk about the supernatural Bible changes. So I'd like to know, have you gotten that memo?
- The rush to judgment by most Christians to brand us as heretics is because no one has considered that God is the one calling for this judgement. He's removed His word as a judgement at least 9 times in the Bible, so why is it so hard to believe that He'd do it again.

The question

- **A new national Mandela effect Bible change survey of 30 pastors proves that the unexplainably high rates of unified misremembering of Bible passages is also taking place among content experts. If the Bible isn't supernaturally changing, then why are pastors misremembering as many as 12 familiar Bible passages in this survey the same way at rates as high as 90%?**
 - **SEE - alteredbible.com/survey (Posting URLs usually gets your post banned)**

NOTE 99% of the time they are not going to answer the question without a struggle. Some will never answer. So listen to their response very carefully and be prepared to say *"you didn't answer my question"* and to speak back what they said. You will need to show them that what they responded with is clearly not an answer and then ask the question again.

You will definitely get better with practice so be encouraged. Below are common objections and responses. Pay attention to what they say after you ask the question. The way they word it may not be exactly what I said but the concept will be the same.

Example

If you ask them to explain the unified misremembering and they say “the Bible can’t change because God preserves His word” that’s a non-answer. Click the link of the appropriate response and it will take you to some sample responses that will give you what to say and then guide you to ask the question again. If you ask the question and they say “the pastors were confused by misquotes,” that is an answer and then you need to begin responding to them to explain why their answer is not a possible reason for the unified misremembering. You will find all of the things that you can say when you click the appropriate link.

You will also want to have the 26 theological arguments handy so you can cut and paste all those scriptures if you get into why the Bible can change

ALSO When you ask THE QUESTION and they ignore the question, they may then ask you for proof the Bible is changing. But that’s what you are trying to give them; the pastor survey results are objective evidence that the Bible is changing. They know that and don’t want to answer the question so they’re going to change the subject. At this point you have to decide how you are going to proceed.

Although you don’t want to allow them to change the subject you may get banned if you don’t provide them with some examples. However, any attempt to provide examples will typically just be reinterpreted and swept aside as version confusion and you’ll get banned. They will say you’re a trouble maker and we already answered your question. You’ll have to use your discernment, but what I am typically going to do is stick to my plan and refuse to go down their theological rabbit holes in the beginning. I’ll go there with them but not at first. IT DOESN’T WORK!

You will never win that way. NEVER. Depending on your environment, the majority of Christians are going to be extremely stubborn, offended and will exhibit behavior that clearly indicates that they have no interest in the truth. You are in shark infested water and your throwing chum into the water that your in. You need a boom stick, shark repellent and a cage. That is using the approach of getting them to explain the survey results before you’re willing to go any further. It’s better to just have an army of people that keep asking the

same question because eventually a meme will be created Why don't they answer the question?

Most common responses

- This is foolishness and nonsense
- What are the supernatural Bible changes
- [The Bible can't change because God preserves His word](#)
- [The devil's not that powerful](#)
- [God cannot lie therefore the Bible can't change](#)
- [God inspired the word therefore it can't change](#)
- [God Doesn't Change therefore the word can't change](#)
- [The Word Can't Change Because God Can't Lie](#)
- [We've already looked at that and judged it as heresy](#)
- [You only want the answer that you want](#)
- [I already answered your question](#)
- [I only base my beliefs on the word not statistics](#)
- [What difference does it make if they are misremembering](#)
- [God would never allow this to happen](#)
- [They are confused because of versions modernizations, variants or misprints](#)
- [They're confused because of misquotes from pop culture](#)
- [Your survey data can't be trusted / How do I know it's accurate](#)
- [The independent studies you are citing don't apply to the pastors](#)
- [Human memory is unreliable](#)
- [Pastors don't read their Bibles anymore](#)
- [Cavalier flippant, or dismissive answers EXAMPLE "Maybe they were drunk"](#)
- [Government psyop, photoshopped tricks](#)
- Delusion

[Click here for more posts](#)

[Click here if they say “I don’t know why they all misremembered the same way”](#)

Answers to objections

The Bible can’t change because God preserves His word

- You didn’t answer my question. Can I post my question and will you try to answer it on this topic?
- If what you are saying is true then why did 28 out of 30 pastors in our national pastor survey misremember Job 1:21 and other KJV passages the same way. When 30 pastors were asked to choose from the following 3 options, 28 out of 30 chose #2 (“giveth / taketh) OPTIONS 1) The Lord gave and the Lord hath taken away 2) The Lord giveth and the lord taketh away 3) The Lord hath given and the Lord shall take away. (93.5%) chose the same wrong answer for this and other KJV only questions The rendering is the same in the 1611 and 1769 oxford so its not a modernization or variant issue so what is your explanation
- Respectfully, you didn’t answer my question, obviously I disagree you’re your interpretation of preservation and I’m asking you to discuss why that is. Millions of Christians disagree with your exact interpretation of preservation, and we want to know what you’re all afraid of. If you’re so sure of your theological position, why won’t you discuss why we believe the preservation promises are being mishandled? So let me ask [the question](#) again
 - NOTE Don’t get into a theological discussion yet. Stay on topic. Make a statement / ask the question. Make a statement / ask the question. Rinse and repeat. Do not allow them to change the subject. If you actually get them to admit that they don’t have an answer for unified misremembering then [Click Here](#)
- Respectfully, you didn’t answer my question, you believe the bible can't change because you assume that the words **bible, scripture and word** are synonymous. But they're not, .that’s just what you’ve been told from the front of the room. Therefore, the promises that you're relying on to demand that the Bible can't change aren’t promising the Bible will be preserved,

they're promising that the word will be preserved and they're not the same. The unified misremembering clearly supports that what I am saying has validity unless you have a different explanation. That's why you are dogging the question. So let me ask [the question](#) again (Support scriptures John 1:1, Revelation 19:13 (Hebrews 4:12 vs Revelation 2:23), (John 1:4 vs John 5:39) Jeremiah 1:4-10, Matthew 4:4)

- Did it ever dawn on you to ask **where** the scriptures promise to preserve the word. If you study the where question, what you will find is that God only promises to preserve his word in our hearts, and never on the pages of the Bible. Here are the only passages that indicate where the word will be preserved. Jeremiah 31:33, Hebrews 8:10, Hebrews 10:16, Psalms 119:89, 2nd Cor 3:3. All other preservation promises only promise preservation with no mention of where. According to these 5 passages, it's either in our hearts, up in heaven which is a proof text for us, or it specifically says it won't be on paper..."Not with ink." So again, my doctrine is more orthodox and more conservative than yours, because you have always only assumed that God promised to preserve the word on paper. So let me ask [the question](#) again
- You probably haven't considered that God can preserve his word while at the same time making it inaccessible through a judgment. There are over 1,600 living languages that have no Bible in their language right at this moment. The word is preserved in relation to those people groups but it is inaccessible to them through a judgment. (**Psalm 9:17**) "The wicked shall be turned into hell, and all the nations that forget God." In a similar way, God is preserving His word in our hearts in modernity but is at the same time making it inaccessible through a judgment.
- If you think the terms scripture and word are interchangeable then read John 1 and exchange the words scripture for word and tell me if you think it means the same thing
 - In the beginning was the Word (scripture), and the Word (scripture) was with God, and the Word (scripture) was God. He (the scripture) was in the beginning with God. All things were made through Him

(the scripture), and without Him (the scripture) nothing was made that was made. In Him (the scripture) was life, and the life was the light of men. So let me ask [the question](#) again

The devil's not that powerful

- You didn't answer my question. Can I post my question and will you try to answer it on this topic?
- The devil doesn't have enough power to change the Bible unless God gives it to him, which He has. You see these types of unprecedented examples of extending permission to the devil to bring destruction throughout the Bible. Since God has demonstrated His willingness to allow Satan to do the unthinkable before He would certainly do it again. And don't think for a minute that these are not proof texts because they don't specifically say that God will allow the devil to change the written scripture because He did in Daniel 7:25. I would suggest reading Chapter 3 of The Mandela effect Supernatural Bible changes before you decide that Daniel 7:25 isn't saying that. So let me ask [the question](#) again
- **Job 1:12** — “Behold, all that he hath is in thy power; only upon himself put not forth thine hand.”
- **Job 2:6** — “Behold, he is in thine hand; but save his life.”
- **Revelation 13:7** — “And it was given unto him to make war with the saints, and to overcome them: and power was given him over all kindreds, and tongues, and nations.”
- **Daniel 7:25** — “and they shall be given into his hand until a time and times and the dividing of time.”
- **Amos 8:11** — “Behold, the days come, saith the Lord GOD, that I will send a famine in the land... of hearing the words of the LORD.”
- **2 Thessalonians 2:9** — “Even him, whose coming is after the working of Satan with all power and signs and lying wonders,”

We see that God has removed His word as a judgment many times before so there is no reason to think that He wouldn't do it again

- Dan 7:25, Amos 8:11, 2nd Thessalonians 2:9, Revelation 13, Revelation 22:10-18, Enoch 80:1-3

God cannot lie therefore the Bible can't change

- You didn't answer my question. Can I post my question and will you try to answer it on this topic?

God Inspired the word therefore it can't change

- You didn't answer my question. Can I post my question and will you try to answer it on this topic?
- This idea is called Theological necessity. The sales pitch is that "If God gave us His word, it only makes sense that He would preserve it." Well that's not a doctrine, that's a guess. 10,000 x 10,000 variations of this have been written to express this one idea but none of them are found in the Bible. You could fill entire libraries with books expressing this one idea. The problem is, it's a sentimental guess that is taught as a doctrine and Jesus rebuked the pharisees for this kind of behavior in Matthew 15:9 ---"But in vain they do worship me, teaching as doctrine the precepts of men."
- Inspiration does not equal preservation. You cannot produce one scripture that teaches that because God inspired His word, then of course He's going to preserve it.
- I'm not saying the scriptures aren't inspired; I'm just saying that if the scriptures do not specifically teach it, then it's not a doctrine and it's not a basis for any certainty that the Bible can't change and it's certainly not a basis to talk down to me like I'm some biblically illiterate boob or a deceiver.
- It's only when someone acknowledges that theological necessity is a guess, that they will be able to let go of the magical thinking that many are embracing.
- Many commentaries agree that the correct translation for 2 Timothy 3:16 should be "every" not "all." 2 Timothy 3:16 is used as a cudgel to beat people into submission that the Bible can't change. But Paul tells you that your doctrine is wrong in 1 Corinthians 7:12: when he wrote: "But to the rest I, not the Lord, say:" Unless you want to say that he was inspired to write that he wasn't inspired you have the clear testimony of scripture that all scripture is not inspired. And let's not forget 2 Timothy 4:13: "When you come, bring my cloak which I left at Troas." Is a guy telling another guy to bring his jacket really the inspired word of God?

God Doesn't Change therefore the word can't change

- You didn't answer my question. Can I post my question and will you try to answer it on this topic?
- The Bible does not teach that since Jesus is the same yesterday, today, and forever, it is therefore a forgone conclusion that the terrestrial book could not possibly be part of an end-times sign and wonder that God Himself has called for. That idea is SMU (Stuff made up)
- The Bible doesn't teach that because God is unchanging then your Bible is unchanging. In fact it teaches the opposite.
 - The Hebrews 1:10 – "You, Lord, in the beginning laid the foundation of the earth, And the heavens are the work of Your hands. They will perish, but You remain; And they will all grow old like a garment; Like a cloak You will fold them up, And they will be changed. But You are the same, And Your years will not fail."
- God folds up His inspired works from time to time. Therefore, there is no theological basis to claim that because the scriptures are inspired, the scriptures cannot change. This is an unfounded dogma that drives many triggered, agitated responses.
 -

The Word Can't Change Because God Can't Lie

- You didn't answer my question. Can I post my question and will you try to answer it on this topic?

We've already looked at that and judged it as heresy

- You didn't answer my question. Can I post my question and will you try to answer it on this topic?
- You didn't answer my question. We understand that you think it's heresy but are you saying that your understanding of scripture is infallible? Because I'm no theologian but 90% of 30 pastors all misremembering familiar passages the EXACT SAME WAY seems to indicate that we may be right so on the outside chance that there's a more nuanced understanding of the doctrine of preservation....let me ask [the question](#) again

You only want the answer that you want

- You didn't answer my question. Can I post my question and will you try to answer it on this topic?

You're not being asked for my answer. You're being asked for your answer. Your refusal to give one is the problem. My question was very specific and all you offered was religious sophistry. Im just looking for a straight answer in plain language. If your doctrine has made that impossible for you then your doctrine needs adjustment. According to 2 independent studies, the average amount of misremembering is approximately 25%, so what is your explanation for a 90% unified misremembering rate among content experts?

3. "Saying I only want the answer I 'want' is just an excuse to avoid committing to anything. It's evasive, not honest."

4. "You're manufacturing a stalemate because you won't speak directly. If you're confident in your view, say it without hiding behind deflection."

[I already answered your question](#)

No you didn't. I asked you to explain how 30 pastors could all misremember the same way and you said _____ That's not an answer. "A non-answer dressed up as an answer is still a non-answer. If you had a position, you'd state it plainly." So let me [ask you again](#),

"When someone refuses to answer a simple question, it tells me the truth would cost them something. Your silence is the admission."

[I only base my beliefs on the word, not statistics](#)

Being in submission to the Lordship of Jesus Christ and His word does not grant you some type of exemption from being accountable to objective evidence that validates our testimony. If you don't have an explanation for this observation, then we do. Get it?

Proverbs 24:11 charges you to answer our question when it says "Deliver *those* who are drawn toward death, And hold back *those* stumbling to the slaughter."

Why wouldn't you answer our question if it could stop us from stumbling to the slaughter. James 4:17 says "to him that knoweth to do good, and doeth it not, to him it is sin." God's word never teaches you should use the word as a reason to hold back your help. Prov 3:27 says "Do not withhold good from those to whom it is due, when it is in your power to act.

"If your so confident in your position, then it should be easy for you to clear up why the content experts in our survey are exhibiting unified misremembering, what's the cause?

Romans 12:16 teaches us that we should 'condescend to the lowly' so as a matter of principle you should be willing to clear up our confusion by just explaining what is causing all of this unified misremembering

"If the Bible is your final authority, then aren't you bound by its teachings on honesty and accountability. **Romans 1:18** says that the "wrath of God is revealed from heaven against all ungodliness and unrighteousness of men, who suppress the truth in unrighteousness;" deflecting a clear, relevant question is the opposite of someone that is in subjection to the word.

What difference does it make if they are misremembering

- It matters because unless you can provide an explanation for the unified misremembering then all of your objections to our testimonies are removed. And if that happens, our testimonies become true and valid which means the Bible is supernaturally changing

God would never allow this to happen

- Psalms 119:89 was written approximately 250 years before Amos 8:11, demonstrating God's willingness to promise to preserve His Word and then subsequently make it inaccessible through a judgment. Whatever counter-argument you wish to bring forward is irrelevant because the precedent is

clear; God promised to preserve His Word and then subsequently took it away as a judgment. This is an example of God's sovereignty, and if He did it before He can d

- **Psalm 119:89 (KJV)**
For ever, O LORD, thy word is settled in heaven.
- **Amos 8:11 (KJV)**
Behold, the days come, saith the Lord GOD, that I will send a famine in the land, not a famine of bread, nor a thirst for water, but of hearing the words of the LORD:
- The sentimental argument that God would never allow this because it would be too disruptive is unbiblical. God has removed His Word as a judgment before, which establishes a precedent for His willingness to do it again.
 - Amos 8:11, Lamentations 2:9, Micah 3:6-7, Psalm 74:9, 1 Samuel 3:1, 2 Chronicles 15:3, Ezekiel 7:26, Hosea 4:6, Ezekiel 14:4,9, 1 Kings 22:19, Numbers 22:20, Psalms 18:26

They are confused because of versions modernizations, variants or misprints

It's not version confusion...because all of the questions in our survey were carefully chosen to ensure that they were either KJV only or universal which means that they were the same in every version. So if every question in our survey is rendered the same way across every version, how could the pastors have been confused by different versions?

It's not modernizations or variants... because we also confirmed that every single question in our survey is identical in every modern version to the source text from which it was derived. Example: Every Authorized KJV Bible renders Isaiah 11:6 "The wolf will dwell with the lamb." And if you go back and look at the 1611 Cambridge KJV, all 5 revisions and the 1769 Oxford edition they also say "The wolf will dwell with the lamb." That means that the pastors were not confused by modernizations, or variants. If that claim were correct,

the current version would show changes compared to earlier versions, and it doesn't.

It's not misprints. The misprints hypothesis is a "Hail Mary" fantasy. I've never seen a Bible that has a misprinted Isaiah 11:6 where it says, "The lion will lay down with the Lamb." If misprints were causing the confusion they'd have to be everywhere. This is not a discussion about misquotes from pop culture, we're talking about misprinted Bibles. And we're not pointing to a Bible with a printing error of

one or two passages. We're talking about Bibles that would have to be filled with printing errors from cover to cover. They don't seem to exist at all, and they certainly don't exist in any quantities that would have any significant impact on our long-term memory. I've been doing this for 9 years and I've never seen a misprinted version of the passages that appear in my survey.

[They are confused because of misquotes from pop culture](#)

It's not misquotes from pop culture... because this far-fetched fantasy is impossible to support if you just stop and think about it for two seconds.

- So what if you come across a misquote? Don't you know your Bible?
- If you saw somebody on Facebook saying, "There is no God," would you suddenly become an atheist?
- If the source of unified misremembering was actually misquotes from pop culture, then everyone would be misremembering differently, not the same way.
- Can't you recognize a misspelled word when you see it? Then why wouldn't you recognize a misquote and reject it? The truth is, you could see and hear a misquote 1,000 times a day for 10 years, and it still wouldn't change your memory of Scriptures you've memorized for decades. And if you are honest about this, you would have to admit that you can't remember the last time you ever saw or heard a misquote. This argument is a lie.

Explain why the occasional and infrequent exposure to misquotes would somehow have a greater influence than the pastor's consistent and long-term exposure to the correct renderings. The average aggregate exposure of a pastor to the correct renderings is somewhere in the neighborhood of 3 hours a day, 7 days a week for decades.

- Ironically, anyone making this claim has to include themselves in the list of people that have been ruthlessly brainwashed by these alleged misquotes. If this is your answer for the observable repeatable measurable phenomenon of worldwide unified misremembering of the Bible, then you have to include yourselves in the list of people who are operating with what amounts to mid-level dementia. So the same people that claim that we're just confused because we're biblically illiterate, are the same people that are forced to admit that they themselves are biblically illiterate.
- Our survey didn't show one pastor misremembering one passage. Our survey showed upwards of twenty eight pastors misremembering twelve passages. The pastors in our survey showed a very high rate of unified misremembering for all of the passages below not just one. Can you produce any evidence that misquotes exist for all of these passages on all of the major social media platforms and that they appear often enough and prominently enough and on an ongoing basis, to somehow justify the idea that they could be overcoming all of the pastor's continual exposure to the correct renderings.
 - Job 1:21, Luke 6:49, Genesis 3:19, Mark 5:27, Luke 5:24, Genesis 7:9, Isaiah 11:6, John 1:41, Matthew 7:1, Hebrews 6:1, John 12:24, Genesis 8:11

Your data can't be trusted / How do I know its accurate

- The survey results are accurate; We have a peer reviewed study that analyzed the Mandela effect and they got the same results that we did. Besides, you can go get the same results yourself using the online bible quiz on alteredbible.com. So are you willing to act in good faith, assume that our data is not fraudulent or inaccurate and answer the question?

“If you think the data is fraudulent, go replicate it. The quiz is public.”

Full line:

“Accusing the survey of being unreliable is meaningless when the entire process is transparent and repeatable. The quiz is online. All the results and original spreadsheet or posted. The results are documented. If you think it’s fake, replicate it yourself and show me different numbers. Until then, you’re just making excuses.”

2. “You don’t get to dismiss data just because it threatens your conclusion.”

Full line:

“Every element of the methodology is posted openly. The only reason to claim it ‘might’ be false—without verifying anything—is because the results contradict what you already believe. That’s not critical thinking; that’s intellectual cowardice.”

3. “Your skepticism isn’t principled—it’s selective. Real skepticism demands replication, which you refuse to do.”

Full line:

“Skeptics test claims. They don’t hide behind theoretical doubts. Anyone can run the quiz and get the same correlated misremembering. If you won’t replicate the data but still want to dismiss it, then skepticism isn’t your motive—avoidance is.”

4. “Independent peer-reviewed research already confirms these patterns. Are they lying too?”

Full line:

“A psychology journal published the same phenomenon—experts misremembering at unified, abnormally high rates. My results mirror theirs. If my data is ‘fake,’ then you’ll need to accuse peer-reviewed researchers of fraud too. And that reveals the real issue: you’re defending doctrine, not investigating evidence.”

5. “You want to reject my data without doing any work and expect me to treat that as a serious response.”

Full line:

“All the evidence is public. The method is public. The quiz is public. You can verify it in twenty minutes. Instead, you want to avoid that work and dismiss everything on pure speculation. That isn’t good-faith inquiry. That’s strategic ignorance—and I’m not going to treat it as meaningful rebuttal.”

Human memory is unreliable

You don’t really believe that and I can prove it. If you visited your aging parent and for the first time, they didn’t recognize you, what conclusion would you draw

Most people say that it’s probably because they have dementia

If that was your answer then you just admitted that you believe that the human memory is very reliable when it comes to vivid memories

Why didn’t you suggest that your parent would have been misremembering?

I’ll tell you why, because you believe that if a parent was unable to recognize the face of their own child then the only explanation for that would be dementia because you believe that the human memory is so reliable when it comes to vivid memories

And don’t think for a minute that some of these passages that we know have changed are not as familiar as our children’s faces because they are. Judge not lest she be judged and the Lord giveth and the Lord taketh away? Come on, those are as familiar as my children’s face.

Pastors don't read their Bibles anymore

- So wait, let me get this straight, your answer to the unified misremembering of 30 pastors of 12 different passages is to suggest they are confused because they're biblically illiterate? 30 pastors are all biblically illiterate? What evidence do you have to support that farfetched fantasy?
- If what you're saying is true, why are they all misremembering in unison?
- I'm a pastor and I read and use my Bible every day, so how do you know those 30 pastors don't read their Bibles?

Cavalier flippant, and dismissive answer EXAMPLE "Maybe they were drunk"

- So you think this is a joke, this is causing people to leave churches or be thrown out of churches, this is causing marriages to break up, this is happening and it is one of the most disruptive events in the church age and your making jokes, I'd appreciate a simple answer if you have one. If not, maybe you would have the presence of mind to take this seriously and just say you don't have an explanation.

Government psyop, photoshopped tricks

- Explain how the government was able to get 30 pastors to all misremember 12 different passages the same way. And our international survey proves that it's not just a few or localized, it's everyone and everywhere.

Delusion

- There is no theological framework to support an untold number of Christians being pounced on by the devil for no reason. Millions of blood washed souls haven't suddenly become delusional. Proverbs 26:2 The curse causeless doesn't come." We tread on serpent and scorpions, not the other way around.

- Peer reviewed studies on the Mandela effect have proven that both groups share the same memories. The Mandela effect community isn't seeing pink elephants. The unconvinced remembers the lion laying down with the lamb just like we do, the difference isn't in the memory (Pink elephant), it's in the conclusion that we come to from having what amounts to a catastrophic memory failure. The reality is the unconvinced "have eyes but see not." (Mark 8:18)

The independent studies your citing don't apply to the pastors

Description

- We provide documented, quantified data
- they reject the data by suggesting that the data doesn't apply for some reason that they come up with

1. "You're trying to rewrite the context because you can't refute the data."

Full line:

"Redefining the context isn't an argument; it's an escape hatch. If you had counter-data, you'd cite it. Since you don't, you're just moving the goalposts to avoid what the numbers force you to confront."

2. "You're offering opinions. I'm offering measurements. One of these carries weight, and it isn't yours."

Full line:

"You don't get to override quantified misremembering rates with off-the-cuff speculation. You have zero studies showing that pastors misremember at 90% under normal conditions. Until you produce data, your position is just noise."

3. "You're rejecting evidence because your doctrine can't survive it."

Full line:

“When objective measurements contradict your assumptions, you discard the measurements instead of re-examining the assumptions. That’s not scholarship; that’s dogmatism. And dogmatism has no power over evidence.”

4. “If your explanation were legitimate, you’d be able to demonstrate it, not just declare it.”

Full line:

“Saying ‘the environment was different’ is meaningless without proof. Show me a study where domain experts misremember in lockstep at 90% under any controlled condition. If you can’t, then you’re not rebutting my evidence—you’re avoiding it.”

5. “You’re demanding scientific rigor from me while contributing none yourself.”

Full line:

“You want to dismiss empirical data with nothing but conjecture. That’s asymmetrical burden-shifting. If you want to overturn a documented pattern of correlated misremembering, bring a study. Otherwise, you’re just protecting a conclusion you arrived at before the discussion started.”

[More posts](#)

1. **What specific mechanism makes brief, infrequent misquotes more powerful than decades of study and correct exposure?**
2. **Where is the data showing these misquotes were so frequent and pervasive that they overcame a pastor’s lifelong exposure to the correct text?**
3. **How can you prove these misquotes were present across all major platforms, not just in isolated pockets?**

4. How do these misquotes supposedly override deeply memorized passages in trained experts?
5. Why would fleeting false references override an expert's lifetime of familiarity with the actual scripture?
6. Why would experts who notice discrepancies in every other area suddenly fail to notice them here?
7. How do you reconcile the extreme statistical improbability of identical misremembering with the claim that misquotes caused it?
8. How do you explain a trained expert failing to critically analyze and reject an obviously false pattern?
9. What measurable data shows that misquotes are capable of aligning multiple people so precisely and uniformly?
10. How many times would the universally known verse "Jesus wept" need to be misquoted as "Jesus cried" before you would unconsciously overwrite your long-term memory and believe it was always that way?
11. Under what realistic conditions could a simple, universally known two-word verse be overwritten by casual exposure to a misquote?
12. Where is the concrete evidence of each misquote's existence?
13. Why wouldn't a content expert's pattern-recognition instantly detect a misquote?
14. Why choose a convenient explanation (misquotes) over one that doesn't require ignoring near-impossible probability?
15. What exact conditions would need to exist for misquotes to produce this level of unified misremembering across experts?

What is the Mandela effect and what are the supernatural Bible changes

The Mandela Effect is a highly reported, nine-year-world-wide phenomenon in which people in every country and across every demographic report that things they vividly remember are no longer as they remember them.

Examples in pop culture include: “Mirror, mirror on the wall” has always said “Magic mirror on the wall.” Other examples include: the Monopoly guy never had a monocle; the Fruit of the Loom logo never had a cornucopia; and Darth Vader never said, “Luke, I am your father.”

A peer-reviewed, published study entitled *“The Visual Mandela Effect as Evidence for Shared and Specific False Memories Across People”* identified an impossible pattern of unified misremembering with seven known Mandela Effects from pop culture, like the ones I mentioned.

This independent, peer-reviewed study virtually eradicates any snarky, cynical response that says the Mandela effect is “impossible”—unless you can provide a rational explanation for those results. If you want to continue to appear rational, then you cannot claim that the Bible somehow allows you to deny the scientific discipline of probability.

The problem you have is that the changes taking place in our realm include every single paper version of every Bible on every shelf, in every century, in every language. There are no exceptions. According to my research there are now millions of devout believers that are claiming that this phenomenon is authentic despite their long held interpretation of the doctrine of preservation.

This is an end-time judgment on the church and was clearly prophesied by Amos, Paul, John, and Daniel.

Our ministry, makes our books available for free, our channel is not monetized so we’re not in it for the views. We do not sell anything to our listeners; we have no sponsors or paid subscriptions for premium listeners. We are a by faith ministry warning the church that this is happening right under your nose. Frankly the lack of discernment and the embargo of silence on this topic is appalling. Our free book is called “The Mandel effect supernatural Bible changes and the doctrine of the preservation of scripture. Its available for FREE on our website.

SBCO Int has conducted two surveys to identify whether the unified misremembering verified in the prior study is also happening with people’s memory regarding the Bible. The results are undeniable. All of humanity including content experts are misremembering as many as 20 familiar passages the exact same way.

Our international survey found that it's happening worldwide, and our national pastor survey found that it's happening with content experts—30 pastors, to be exact.

Nobody reading this has to take our word for it, because you can use our 20-question online Mandela Effect Bible quiz yourself and see that most people will get most of these wrong in the exact same way.

The chances of only five people misremembering five Bible quiz questions the same way is approximately 10^{19} power. That's one in 10 quintillion. What unified misremembering proves unequivocally is that misremembering and misremembering the same way are two entirely different things. The observable measurable repeatable unified misremembering is not proof that the Bible is changing but it is proof that there has to be a positive identifiable cause for the unified misremembering.

Our survey has eliminated any possibility that this is caused by version modernizations or variants confusion because all of the questions in our survey are either King James only or universally the same in every version

How can the pastors be confused by versions or modernizations if all the version renderings are the same for all of our questions in every version.

This means that the church's only possible explanation for this verifiable unified misremembering is that these content experts are all bamboozled by misquotes from pop culture.

But my response is so what if you see a misquote on Facebook, don't you know your Bible?

Our national survey found 28 pastors misremembering 12 different familiar passages at rates as high as 90%. Two independent studies found that the average rate of misremembering in any given situation is approximately 25%. So what's causing the impossibly high rate of misremembering?

For example, when given three options and asked what their memory was for Job 1:21, 28 out of 30 pastors chose the same wrong answer: "The LORD giveth, and the LORD taketh away." They did not choose the correct answer, which is: "The LORD gave, and the LORD hath taken away or the 3rd option which was different but incorrect."

The 1611 Cambridge and the 1769 Oxford have both always rendered Job 1:21 as; "The Lord gave and the Lord hath taken away."

This means that the pastors were not confused by modernizations. The question then becomes: if it has always said that, then why did 28 pastors choose the same wrong answer? The wrong memory had to get in there somehow.

What I'm looking for from anyone reading this is an explanation of the following:

If the Bible is not supernaturally changing, then why did 28 out of 30 pastors in our survey misremember Job 1:21 and 11 other scriptures the same way? I

List of biblical paradoxes

- Sacrificing Turtles — Leviticus 12:8 (KJV only)
- Sacrificing turtles forbidden — Leviticus 11:29–30; Leviticus 1:3–4 (KJV only)
- Singing turtles — Song of Solomon 2:12 (KJV only)
- Turtles flying south for the winter — Jeremiah 8:7 (KJV only)
- Nursing fathers — Isaiah 49:23 (KJV only)
- Sucking the breasts of kings — Isaiah 60:16 (KJV only)
- Men with breast milk — “His breasts are full of milk” — Job 21:24 (KJV only)
- Two men in a bed / One gets raptured — Luke 17:34–35 (KJV only)
- A bishop must be a “lover of good men” — Titus 1:8 (KJV only)
- There is no God — Isaiah 45:14 (KJV only)
- Jesus is called a “holy thing” — Luke 1:35 (KJV only)
- Leaving the principles of Christ (elementary removed) — Heb 6:1 (KJV only)
- God the Father has a father — Revelation 1:6 (KJV only)
- Peter running around naked — John 21:7 (KJV only)
- We should respect people with gay clothing — James 2:3 (KJV only)
- Girdles & Bonnets — Exodus 28:40 (KJV only)
- Seven angels with golden girdles — Revelation 15:6 (KJV only)
- Blue lace on the mitre — Exodus 28:37 (KJV only)
- Fig leaf aprons — Genesis 3:7 (KJV only)

- Warning against cross-dressing — Deuteronomy 22:5
- God rewards fools and transgressors — Proverbs 26:10 (KJV only)
- Easter celebrated — Acts 12:4 (KJV only)

Universal Changes (Most translations)

- 2 Kings 6:28–29: “Give up your son so we may eat him today, and tomorrow we’ll eat my son. So we cooked my son and ate him. The next day I said to her, ‘Give up your son so we may eat him,’ but she had hidden him.”
- Isaiah engaging in fornication with “a prophetess” — Isaiah 8:3
- Jihad Jesus slaying any who refuses to follow Him — Luke 19:27
- Smashing babies against rocks makes you happy — Psalm 137:9
- Jesus spitting in people's faces to heal them — Mark 8:23
- Sacrificing female sheep — Leviticus 4:32
- Paul robbing churches — 2 Corinthians 11:8
- People drinking their own piss and eating their own dung — 2 Kings 18:27
- Jesus touching babies — Luke 18:15
- Genitals like donkeys and emissions like horses — Ezekiel 23:20
- Female angels or women with wings — Zechariah 5:9
- Streaking (running naked) — Mark 14:51–52; John 21:7
- God Himself is killing the firstborn of Egypt — Exodus 12:23
- False teachers are to be tolerated — 2 Corinthians 11:4
- Three Taverns — Sounds like they are stopping to tilt a few — Acts 28:15

I ONLY GO BY THE WORD

THEY WERE CONFUSED BY VERSIONS OR MODERNIZATIONS

WHAT DIFFERENCE DOES IT MAKE LION OR WOLF

So by saying what difference does it make does that mean you agree that its changed (Cause theyre not agreeing that its changed)

Because The only way you can say that is if you don't believe its happening

I asked you that because your not the only one that gets that wrong....everyone gets that wrong including pastors.....and its not just that one its 15 other ones.....how is that possible

THEY WERE CONFUSED BY MISQUOTES

I ONLY GO BY THE WORD....

So are you saying that the Bible teaches that you can. Ignore objective evidence that would prove that your doctrine is wrong.And our testimony is true... Where does the bible teach that?You can ignore evidence

The Bible doesn't teach that you can just ignore objective evidence because it eliminates your objection to our testimony and indicates that your doctrine may need some adjustment. Being in submission to the Lordship of Jesus Christ and His word does not grant you some type of exemption from being accountable to objective evidence that validates our testimony. If you don't have an explanation for this observation, then we do. Get it?

- There is no theological framework, religious theory, or scriptural argument that can simply wave away what this data is clearly saying.
- The undeniable conclusion of this observation is that there *must* be a specific cause behind this kind of unified misremembering. Anyone who tries to downplay or dismiss this finding as irrelevant is not engaging in honest inquiry or acting in good faith.

- God is allowing this for a very important reason
- I'm sorry, but you didn't answer my question
- To answer my question, you quoted a preservation promise

- By doing so it appears that your answer is a decree that the bible can't change
- But that's exactly what we're saying is happening. And so what we don't want decrees and then be cut off like you're doing. we want to have a discussion about it. So let me ask you again. Why is it that Christians are unwilling to have a discussion about the supernatural Bible changes?
-
- How would you know if it's heresy? Unless you have a discussion about why we believe it isn't a heresy
-
- Are you saying that you're present? Understanding of the doctrine of preservation is infallible and you're unwilling to even have a discussion why know there is a more conservative and orthodox understanding of what the scriptures teach about preservation
-
- The reason you're unwilling to discuss this is because you are mistakenly concluding that if the Bible's changing, then so that means that god is a failure or r gods a liar. Both of which are untrue even if the bible's changing
- It's never dogs on you. In this discussion to consider the idea that God is the one calling for the judgment.

Explaining the survey

Transition to survey

The evidence that this is taking place is now undeniable. A new national survey of pastors showed unified misremembering, as high as ninety three percent... 28 out of 31 pastors all chose the same wrong answer. From a multiple-choice option what's your explanation for that

According to research done by s b c o international, there are now millions of Christians worldwide, claiming that their bible is supernaturally changing..... They conducted a national survey of pastors.

And there was an average of twenty-two pastors that all misremembered, seven, different familiar bible passages, the exact same way. How is that possible?

Christians in the Mandela effect community are demanding to know why church leaders are silent about this topic

We are testifying that the bible is supernaturally changing, and we are not going away

We have credible, objective evidence and biblical scholars attesting to the authenticity of this phenomenon. The doctrine of preservation is being mishandled and needs to be discussed....

If you're correct about your doctrine, what are you so afraid of

We want to know why Christians and church leaders are terrified of having this discussion

The idea that God would never remove His word is unbiblical, God has removed His words as a judgment at least 9 times

Preachers keep putting words in our mouth and accuse us of claiming that the devil has overpowered God or God is a liar or a failure because He cant even stop the devil from changing the Bible. We're not claiming any of those things.

If they say "I don't know why they all misremembered the same way"

- The reason you have no answer is because the answer is obvious. What's the one source of the scriptures that has been consistently in front of these

pastors that would be able to get the same 12 passages into their long-term memory? The Bible. But what they all have in their memory is different than what's in every Bible, so doesn't that mean that the Bible has changed?

- There has to be something that was in front of the pastors often enough and long enough for them to all misremember 12 different passages the same way, wouldn't you agree?
- Understand, that by saying that you have no explanation for this observation, you agree that all of your objections to our testimony have been removed. In a court of law, once all objections have been removed from an eye witness account it is entered into the court as evidence. And evidence is defined as true, and reliable. So do you have any other objections to our testimony before I pronounce judgment
- The finding of this court is.....
 - if the prosecution has no further objections to the testimony of millions of devout followers of the Lord Jesus Christ regarding this matter, then I find in favor of the Christian Mandela effect community. In the absence of any rational explanation by the prosecution, I find the results of the pastor survey to be objective and compelling beyond any reasonable doubt, and the only hypothesis that it verifies is the supernatural hypothesis. The testimony of the Christian Mandela effect community that there is a phenomenon is credible and it is the finding of this court that the Bible is supernaturally changing. Case closed
- The survey results are accurate; We have a peer reviewed study that analyzed the Mandela effect and they got the same results that we did. Besides, you can go get the same results yourself using the online bible quiz on alteredbible.com. So let's just assume the data is accurate.
- Content experts misremembering at levels of 90% doesn't prove the bible is changing, it proves there has to be a cause. According to 2 independent studies, the average amount of misremembering is approx. 25%, so why are they misremembering at levels as high as 90%

- Also, if they were simply misremembering, they would be misremembering differently not the same. The pastors all consistently picked the same wrong answer from a multiple-choice quiz, so why are content experts misremembering the same way at 90% unless their Bibles have changed?
-
- Do you want to take a guess at what that would be?
 - Refuting version and refuting misquotes

I have an online Ministry for 9 years now. My books that I've written are available for free... I do not monetize my channel... I do not have a subscription for premium members... I have no sponsors... and I don't sell anything to my listeners. Im doing this because God told me to do this

[A list of all the evidence that the Bible is changing](#)

Here is a list of some of the evidence that that all Bibles (including the King James version) are being supernatural changed to fulfil end times prophecy

- The testimony of Millions of dedicated Believers worldwide
- The verifiable observation that unified misremembering is taking place even among pastors and content experts
- A variety of new studies including a national pastor survey where 30 pastors were all misremembering 12 different passages the exact same way
- Many familiar passages within known versions now seem to have changed to millions of believers
- The appearance of biblical paradoxes introducing dark themes, sexual innuendo, blasphemy and all manner of confusion

- Expert testimony from renowned theologians
- CEOs of large companies making videos for their clients that the changes in inventory was not caused by their software, but instead was caused by the Mandela effect
- Flip Flops
- 4 very clear biblical prophecies fortelling the event
- 26 theological arguments that support our contention that the Bible or scripture can be changing
- Names with eighteen letters
- New countries introduced
- Altered names
- Spelling, grammar, and syntax errors
- Changed stories and new stories
- Cut-and-paste duplicates
- Ebonics, emojis, gibberish, and nonsense verses
- Duplicated words
- Massive run-on sentences
- Modern words
- Random capitalization and italics
- Some changes appear in certain versions but not in the KJV, and vice versa
- Not all changes appear across all versions, adding confusion to the debate
- Changes may appear in one part of the New Testament but not another
- Some changes are in the Old Testament only, or the New Testament only
- Some changes appear in one Gospel, others in all Gospels
- Some changes are doctrinally significant; others seem benign
- Same Greek or Hebrew word can be translated as two different English words in the same paragraph
- Some changes occur only once, while others change and then change again

If you give me permission i can give you my website where all the evidence is laid out Ive been in the ministry for 40 years and I know what it is to talk to an atheist. Theres nothing the atheist could ever say that would be able to convince me that

there is no God. I assure you that the theology underlining our position is sound so suggesting that the Bible is being supernaturally changed is very different than talking with an atheist