

How to get the Unconvinced.... especially Christians or Pastors to admit the Bible is supernaturally changing.

This debate will be decided based on one question.

“Is the experience that is being reported that reality and the scriptures are not as we remember them misremembering or a phenomenon?”

Here are a series of questions which seem to lead anyone to the unavoidable conclusion that it is a phenomenon.

Is the memory reliable?

If God commands us to remember eight different times in the Bible, would you agree that that would seem to indicate that the human memory is very reliable over long periods of time?

- Deuteronomy 8:18
- 1 Chronicles 16:12
- Deuteronomy 9:7-8
- 1 Chronicles 16:15
- Isaiah 49:15-16
- John 16:4
- Corinthians 11:24-28
- Hebrews 10:32-36
- 2 Timothy 1:7 For God hath not given us the spirit of fear; but of power, and of love, and of a sound mind.
- 1st Corinthians 2:16 For who hath known the mind of the Lord, that he may instruct him? But we have the mind of Christ.

If your name changed

If you woke up one morning and your name was changed from Joe to Jim. All your bank statements say Jim...everyone knows you as Jim. All records show that you have always been Jim. And so you get a checkup, and you don't have mental illness and your pastor says you didn't have a demon.

You know everything says that your name has changed but your quite sure you know what your name is.

Would you consider that a phenomenon?

Assuming they say yes

So your testimony is that if everything around you was suddenly telling you that your name had changed, you would choose to deny the evidence that the datasphere was presenting.... And instead, you would choose to trust your conscience....your memory...and your God given faculties of discernment...intuition over the more seemingly rational explanation that you had just been misremembering your own name for your entire life. Is that correct?

You: Why did you choose that?

Them: Because I know my name

You: Why wouldn't you just assume you had misremembered your name for your entire life?

Them: Because I have such a vivid memory of my name

Summary

So is it correct to say that you believe that when talking about vivid memories.... your own memory and really anyone's memory in the same situation is so reliable that even if everything around you changed, you would choose to side with what your memory was telling you, and not all the empirical proof.

And how would you feel if I was there trying to convince you that you were just misremembering? Would you be offended? How would you feel if I was using sarcasm and shamming terms like crazy farfetched and impossible?

Quote 2 scriptures

Can you quote 2 scriptures from memory?

How certain are you?

How certain are you that you're correct? (100%) If you opened your bible would it say exactly what you just said? How about Jesus wept Do you think you have the memorized accurately? (John 11:35)

So you have agreed that;

- 1) The human memory is reliable
- 2) If your name changed it could only be a phenomenon.
- 3) You also agreed that you are in touch with your inner man and you feel a sense of certainty that is so high regarding certain scriptures that you are 100% sure you are remembering them correctly. Is that correct?
- 4) Would you agree that the certainty that you feel about remembering your name correctly in the 2nd question is the same level of certainty that you feel regarding your ability to remember certain passages of scripture.

Them: Yes

If your aging parent had no history of mental illness, but you went to visit them and they didn't recognize you. What conclusion would you draw?

Them: I would assume they had Dementia

You: Why

Them: Mom would recognize her child

You: Why do you conclude that mental illness would have to be involved if

apparent couldn't recognize their child?

Them: Because it is my belief that in the case of vivid memories, that the human memory is so reliable that if someone couldn't recognize their own child, the most probable explanation would be mental illness.

According to PMC

US National Library of Medicine

“This distinction between the perception and reality of memory has important consequences in the context of the courtroom. In the legal system, like among the general public, it is generally assumed that memory is **highly accurate and largely indelible, at least in the case of 'strong' memories.**”

18 U.S. Code § 3502.

Admissibility in evidence of eye witness testimony

“The testimony of a witness that he saw the accused commit or participate in the commission of the crime for which the accused is being tried **shall be admissible in evidence** in a criminal prosecution in any trial court ordained and established under article III of the Constitution of the United States.”

Long Term Study on memory

Results of the study showed that participants who were tested within 15 years of graduation were about 90% **accurate** in identifying names and faces. After 48 years they were **accurate** 80% for verbal and 70% visual.

[www.simplypsychology.org › long-term-memory](http://www.simplypsychology.org/long-term-memory) By [Saul McLeod](#), published 2010

So is it your testimony that the human memory is so reliable when talking about vivid memories....That the only explanation for a catastrophic memory failure like the parent not recognizing the child would be mental illness.

If you agree that your name changing would be a phenomenon, and you agree that a scripture can be as vivid as your name.....

Then you're agreeing that if we have vivid memories of scriptures that have now

changed, then what we are experiencing is a phenomenon, is that correct?

How does the phenomenon work?

Last question. Explain how the phenomenon works.....and let me help you. We're not interested in who is pulling the levers, where its being done or how or why. If you say we are under delusion, explain exactly how it works. How are we being deluded?

If this experience is a phenomenon as you say, the only possible ways that this could be taken place that I can think of is that when I look at the Bible, we are seeing something that's not actually there. (ie psychosis...ie pink elephants)

EXAMPLE: I see, Wolf in Isaiah 11:6 ,but it actually still says Lion. Everyone's vivid memory is Lion and you say that the Bible hasn't changed so it must still say Lion but we are seeing Wolf.

The other way the phenomenon would play itself out is if the words on the page actually have changed.

Now either you have a third explanation for this that I haven't thought of or you have to pick one of those 2.

If your answer is "I don't know"....then I'm going with Sherlock Holms

Sherlock Holms

If you have eliminated all the suspects, the only one left, no matter how unlikely, is the murderer. ie The Bible is being supernaturally changed.